

## RE: RE: SRA DIAGNOSTIC REPORT

Dear Janet,

Regarding the SRA array, it is currently impossible — and by that I mean incredibly tedious — to perform a manual repair. It's not to say that I won't make a great effort to try, though.

Preliminary analysis of the array's components indicate that the anchors are damaged, as you've said. I ran some of the numbers and here's what I got.

The Grant Bolton-accelerator doesn't seem to output the correct values. It expresses an output with 16 exponents, and as we know the ideal is 10. For example, the most recent diagnostic expressed 42824; it would be much more ideal should that be *roughly* 272000, or around that ballpark.

When we concatenate the Grant Bolton H-Value (in its ideal configuration), and compare that to the Interspatial Scranton-Bennet Negativity constant, it sadly outputs a D-grade 11.5% success rate. So I feel like I'm really out of options on this end.

In another area, we have 27 outputs of gibberish from the Foresight-Stabilizer. It seems like these have the exact opposite issue. Power 10% across the board, and you know how that can be a problem, given that it's the most sensitive. It would be best if we went through these one at a time. It will take longer, but I suspect doing them altogether will only result in a disaster, or at best a headache.

My point is, manual repair of the auto-calibration routine is not preferred right now. So it's best if we can sort this out first using manual software calibration, at least temporarily until we figure out a better solution.

Best,

Jerome Howell

[View Reply - 8d9ef2-1](#)